

Founded in 1852
by Sidney Davy Miller

MILLER CANFIELD

MICHAEL C. RAMPE
TEL (517) 483-4941
FAX (517) 374-6304
E-MAIL rampe@millercanfield.com

Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
One Michigan Avenue, Suite 900
Lansing, Michigan 48933
TEL (517) 487-2070
FAX (517) 374-6304
www.millercanfield.com

MICHIGAN: Ann Arbor
Detroit • Grand Rapids
Kalamazoo • Lansing • Troy

FLORIDA: Tampa
ILLINOIS: Chicago
NEW YORK: New York

CANADA: Windsor
CHINA: Shanghai
MEXICO: Monterrey
POLAND: Gdynia
Warsaw • Wrocław

July 3, 2017

Ms. Kavita Kale
Michigan Public Service Commission
7109 W. Saginaw Highway
Lansing, MI 48917

Re: Wisconsin Electric Power Company
2018-19 Biennial EWRP
Case No: U-18267

Dear Ms. Kale:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above case please find Wisconsin Electric Power Company's Application with supporting Testimony and Exhibits in regards to the case mentioned-above.

Enclosed also is my appearance.

Additionally, a draft notice of hearing is being e-mailed to Angela McGuire at the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Very truly yours,

Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.

By: _____
Michael C. Rampe

cc: Robert Garvin
Ted Eidukas
Dennis Derricks
Eric Rogers
Amy Winkler

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter, on the Commission’s own motion,)
regarding the regulatory reviews, revisions,)
determinations, and/or approvals necessary for) Case No. U-18267
WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY)
to fully comply with Public Act 295 of 2008,)
as amended by Public Act 342 of 2016.)

APPLICATION

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY d/b/a We Energies (“Wisconsin Electric” or the “Company”) requests authority from the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) to implement the Company’s 2018-2019 Energy Waste Reduction (“EWR”) plan, including continuing alternative compliance payments and charges for recovery of same pursuant to MCL 460.1091. In support thereof, the Company respectfully represents as follows:

1. Wisconsin Electric is a public service corporation organized under the laws of Wisconsin with its principal offices located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and is engaged primarily in public utility operations. Wisconsin Electric is also authorized to do business in Michigan and provides retail electric service to one customer, Tilden Mining Company LC (“Tilden”), located in the Upper Peninsula.

2. Wisconsin Electric’s retail electric business in Michigan is subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction pursuant to 1909 PA 106 as amended, MCL 460.551 et seq.; 1909 PA 300, as amended, MCL 462.2 et seq.; 1919 PA 419, as amended, MCL 460.51 et seq.; and 1939 PA 3, as amended, MCL 460.1 et seq., as amended

3. The Michigan Legislature enacted the Michigan clean, renewable, and efficient energy act, 2008 PA 295 (“PA 295”), MCL 460.1001 et seq., effective October 6, 2008. PA 295 was amended by the Clean and Renewable Energy and Energy Waste Reduction Act, 2016 PA 342 (“Act 342”) effective April 20, 2017. PA 295 required electric providers to file energy optimization (“EO”) plans on a biennial basis. As amended by Act 342, electric providers are now required to file EWR plans. PA 295, as amended by Act 342, and the Commission’s March 28, 2017 Order in Case No. U-18260 *et al* require the Company to file its biennial EWR plan for 2018-2019 in this matter.

4. In lieu of establishing an EWR plan, MCL 460.1091 provides for alternative compliance payments for a provider that each year pays not less than 2.0% of total utility sales revenues for the second year preceding to an independent EWR program administrator selected by the Commission (“Administrator”).

5. The Commission’s May 26, 2009 Order in Case No. U-15812, September 13, 2011 Order in Case No. U-16677, December 6, 2013 Order in Case No. U-17357, and October 27, 2015 Order in Case No. U-17777, approved the Company’s plan for making alternative compliance payments to the Administrator in lieu of an EO plan, and approved the Company’s proposed charges for recovering the costs thereof. The Company’s 2018-2019 EWR plan proposes to continue to make alternative compliance payments.

6. MCL 460.1091(1) describes the calculation of the amount of annual payments to the Administrator. Based on its calculations, Wisconsin Electric will pay \$1,380,337 to the Administrator in 2018. The amount to be paid in 2019 will be finalized as actual 2017 revenues become known.

7. Wisconsin Electric's EWR surcharges for 2018 are based on the level of revenue and payments to the Administrator for 2016. The requested surcharges are set forth in Exhibit A-4, filed herewith. The Company's 2019 payments to the Administrator, which will be based upon actual 2017 revenues (which are not known at the time of this filing), and revised 2019 EWR surcharges will be proposed in Wisconsin Electric's 2017 EO reconciliation.

8. This Application is supported by the pre-filed direct testimony and exhibits of Eric A. Rogers.

WHEREFORE, Wisconsin Electric Power Company requests that this Commission:

A. Find and determine that the Company's proposed payments to the Administrator for 2018 satisfy the Company's obligations under Subpart C of 2008 PA 295, as amended by Act 342;

B. Authorize the Company, beginning as of January 1, 2018, to implement, subject to reconciliation, the EWR charges set forth in Mr. Rogers' testimony and exhibits and approve the proposed tariff provisions related to such EWR charges; and

C. Grant such other and further relief and authorizations as may be lawful and proper.

Respectfully submitted,
WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

Dated: July 3, 2017

By: _____
Its Attorney
Michael C. Rampe (P58189)
MILLER, CANFIELD,
PADDOCK and STONE, PLC
One Michigan Avenue, Suite 900
Lansing, MI 48933
(517) 487-2070

Attorney for Wisconsin Electric Power Company

29290655.2\130071-00090

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion,)	
regarding the regulatory reviews, revisions,)	
determinations, and/or approvals necessary for)	Case No. U-18267
WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY)	
to fully comply with Public Act 295 of 2008,)	
<u>as amended by Public Act 342 of 2016.</u>)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
ERIC ALAN ROGERS
ON BEHALF OF
WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

July 3, 2017

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

Before the Michigan Public Service Commission

Direct Testimony of Eric Alan Rogers

1 **Q. Would you please state your name and your business address?**

2 A. My name is Eric Alan Rogers. My business address is 231 W. Michigan Street,
3 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.

4 **Q. By whom are you employed?**

5 A. I'm employed by WEC Business Services, which provides various services to Wisconsin
6 Electric Power Company ("Wisconsin Electric" or "Company"), which does business
7 under the name of We Energies, and to Upper Michigan Energy Resources Corporation
8 ("UMERC"). WEC Business Services, Wisconsin Electric, and UMERC are all wholly-
9 owned subsidiaries of WEC Energy Group, Inc.

10 **Q. Would you please describe your educational background?**

11 A. I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil and Environmental Engineering from the
12 University of Wisconsin – Madison in 1975, and a Master of Science degree in
13 Environmental Engineering from Stanford University in 1978. I also took course work
14 but did not complete a degree at the University of Washington Graduate School of
15 Business.

16 **Q. Are you registered as a Professional Engineer in the State of Wisconsin?**

17 A. Yes, I am.

18 **Q. Would you briefly describe your professional experience prior to joining WEC**
19 **Business Services?**

1 A. I worked for the United States Bureau of Reclamation in Denver, Colorado, as a design
2 engineer for two years, and for Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories in Richland,
3 Washington as a research engineer for three years prior to joining Wisconsin Electric in
4 1982.

5 **Q. Would you describe your responsibilities at WEC Business Services?**

6 A. I began in 1982 as a forecasting analyst, and I developed the residential sales forecast for
7 several rate cases and advance plans for Wisconsin Electric. During the mid-1980s and
8 early 1990s, I was responsible for analyzing the cost effectiveness of proposed demand-
9 side programs and evaluating the performance of actual demand-side programs. In the
10 early 1990s, I became responsible for the load research group and I've since performed
11 numerous analyses of load data. In 2001, I developed the load profiling and settlement
12 methodology which is now being used for Michigan customers who select alternate
13 energy suppliers. Around 2002, I assumed responsibilities for cost-of-service analysis
14 and rate design. I am currently a team leader in the Regulatory Affairs Department. My
15 responsibilities include class load analyses, revenue forecasts, cost-of-service studies and
16 rate design and I continue to work closely with the sales forecasting group.

17 **Q. Have you previously presented testimony on behalf of the applicant in other cases?**

18 A. Yes, I presented testimony on cost-of-service and rate design in our previous rate cases
19 before the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission"), Case Nos. U-15071,
20 U-15500, U-15981 and U-16830. I also presented testimony on energy optimization
21 ("EO") plans, now known as Energy Waste Reduction Plans, in Case Nos. U-15812, U-
22 16677, U-17357 and U-17777, and on the reconciliation of the EO surcharges for 2009

1 through 2015 in Case Nos. U-16368, U-16369, U-16743, U-17287, U-17607, U-18019
2 and U-18337, respectively. I also presented testimony on the impacts to the Company's
3 revenue requirements of our proposed ownership exchange agreement with Wolverine
4 Power Supply Cooperative, Inc. for a portion of the Presque Isle Power Plant in Case No.
5 U-17213. I also presented testimony in Case No. U-17479 and Case No. U-17490. I
6 have also presented testimony on cost-of-service and rate design in several cases before
7 the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, including the 2004 and 2005 carve-out rate
8 cases (Docket 05-UR-101), the environmental trust financing case (Docket 6630-ET-
9 100), and the test-year 2006, 2008, 2010, 2013, and 2015 rate cases (Dockets 05-UR-102,
10 05-UR-103, 05-UR-104, 05-UR-106 and 05-UR-107, respectively). I also submitted
11 testimony to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") in three cases
12 involving power sales agreements with our Michigan wholesale customers (Dockets
13 ER06-997, ER06-998 and ER06-999) and our FERC general rate case (Docket ER06-
14 1320).

15 **Q. What is the purpose of this testimony?**

16 A. The purpose of this testimony is to present the Company's Energy Waste Reduction
17 ("EWR") Plan for 2018 and 2019, in response to the Commission's order in this Case
18 dated March 28, 2017.

19 **Q. Since the last EO Plan case, has WEPCo undergone any changes?**

20 A. Yes. Pursuant to a Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission on December 9,
21 2016, in Case No. U-18061 ("U-18061 Settlement Agreement"), UMEREC was
22 established as a Michigan regulated utility providing service only to electric and natural

1 gas customers in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. Pursuant to the U-18061 Settlement
2 Agreement, Wisconsin Electric transferred all of its Michigan jurisdictional distribution
3 substations, distribution lines, and other distribution assets used in providing retail
4 electric service in Michigan, as well as its Michigan retail full requirements and retail
5 access full service customers to UMERC, except one customer, Tilden Mining Company
6 L.C. (“Tilden”). Tilden remains a customer of Wisconsin Electric until transferred as a
7 customer of UMERC after the termination of the 2015-2019 Large Curtailable Special
8 Contract between Tilden and Wisconsin Electric (“Tilden Special Contract”), which is
9 estimated to be mid-2019.

10 **Q. How does the U-18061 Settlement Agreement affect these EWR Plan proceedings?**

11 A. Upon the formation of UMERC on January 1, 2017, the Company retains only one
12 customer in Michigan, Tilden, which is served under the 2015-2019 Large Curtailable
13 Special Contract approved in Case No. U-17862 but was formerly served under the
14 Company’s CpLC tariff. This customer is referred to in this Case as the “CpLC Class.”
15 The other customers that were formerly served by the Company are now served by
16 UMERC and are referred to in this case as “non-CpLC” or “other retail.” This EWR Plan
17 for the non-CpLC customers (now UMERC customers) is presented in Case U-18266.
18 The EWR Plan for Wisconsin Electric builds upon the annual EO surcharge report and
19 reconciliation, covering the period from January 2016 through December 2016, which
20 was filed on April 28, 2017 in Case No. U-18337. The Company intends to continue the
21 option provided in 2008 PA 295 Section 91(1), as amended, to make payments to an
22 independent EWR program administrator, Efficiency United. Payments to Efficiency

1 United (“Administrator”) for 2018 are calculated as are the surcharges required to recover
2 those payments from customers.

3 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits with this testimony?**

4 A. Yes. I’m sponsoring the following exhibits:

5 Exhibit A-1 (EAR-1): Comparison of EO Surcharge Revenues Collected from
6 Customers and Payments Made to the EO Administrator in
7 2016 & Regulatory Asset (Liability) Split Between the
8 CpLC class and Other Retail Customers

9 Exhibit A-2 (EAR-2): Calculation of Total Payments to EWR Administrator and
10 Collections from Customers in 2018 And Percentage of the
11 Total Collected from Customers Not Opting Out with Self-
12 Directed Programs

13 Exhibit A-3 (EAR-3): Derivation of Energy Waste Reduction Surcharges for 2018
14 Under Act 295 Section 91

15 Exhibit A-4 (EAR-4): Tariff Sheet for Revised EWR Surcharges

16 **Q. Were these Exhibits prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?**

17 A. Yes.

18 **Q. Would you explain Exhibit A-1, please?**

19 A. Yes. Page 1 of Exhibit A-1 (EAR-1) is identical to Page 1 of Exhibit A-1 (EAR) filed in
20 Case No. U-18337 on April 28, 2017 (reconciliation of Wisconsin Electric’s EO plan for
21 2016), except column R on the right hand side has been added to show the allocation by
22 class of the year-end regulatory asset (or liability) and sub-total collections for non-CpLC

1 customers and CpLC have been added in rows 35 and 36, respectively. The Exhibit is
2 repeated here for completeness, as the subsequent exhibits rely on it. Page 2 of Exhibit
3 A-1 (EAR-1) is identical to Page 2 of the Exhibit in Case No. U-18337, and Page 3 is
4 identical to page 3 of the Exhibit in Case No. U-18337, except projections for 2017 and
5 2018 under the current EO surcharges have been added. Page 4 has been added to this
6 exhibit to show the projections for 2018 and 2019 under the proposed EWR surcharges.

7 **Q. What is the purpose of Exhibit A-1 (EAR-1) pages 2 through 4?**

8 A. The purpose of pages 2 through 4 of Exhibit A-1 (EAR-1) is to document the
9 methodology for splitting the regulatory asset (liability) between Wisconsin Electric's
10 CpLC and UMERCE's non-CpLC retail classes.

11 **Q. Could you describe Exhibit A-1 (EAR-1) pages 2 through 4?**

12 A. The calculation history goes back to the beginning of the EO surcharge in July 2009. The
13 values for total surcharges collected, CpLC surcharges collected, total payments to the
14 EO Administrator and total monthly carrying cost are derived directly from past EO
15 surcharge reconciliation reports. The payments attributed to CpLC are based on the
16 proportion of CpLC payments in the various EO surcharge plans, through June 2015.
17 Starting in July 2015, the payment attributed to CpLC is based on the June 2015 payment
18 plus the additional payment authorized by the July 9, 2015 Order in Case U-17607. The
19 monthly carrying cost for CpLC is proportional to the previous month's regulatory asset
20 (liability).

21

1 **Q. What are the results shown in Exhibit A-1 (EAR-1) pages 2 through 4?**

2 A. At the end of 2017, the projected total regulatory liability will be \$70,699.75, with a
3 regulatory asset of \$1,268.85 for the CpLC class and a regulatory liability of \$71,968.60
4 for the other retail classes, as indicated in cells H122, I122 and J122, respectively.

5 **Q. Are you proposing to modify the EWR surcharges?**

6 A. Yes. The new EWR surcharges are derived in Exhibits A-2 (EAR-2) and A-3 (EAR-3).
7 Under the proposed EWR surcharges, the regulatory assets (liabilities) are projected to
8 approach zero by the end of 2019, as indicated in cells H173, I173 and J173.

9 **Q. Would you explain Exhibit A-2 (EAR-2)?**

10 A. Yes. Exhibit A-2 (EAR-2) shows the derivation of the amount that needs to be collected
11 from the CpLC customer. It shows the revenue from the single customer in 2016, which
12 determines the amount the Company will pay to the EWR Administrator in 2018. Then
13 adjustments are made for the projected regulatory asset (liability) at the end of 2017 and
14 for payments from customers opting out with self-directed programs (which in this case
15 are zero).

16 **Q. Would you explain Exhibit A-3 (EAR-3)?**

17 A. Yes. Exhibit A-3 (EAR-3) shows the 2016 booked revenue for the CpLC class. The
18 EWR surcharge revenue to collect in 2018 is calculated for the class by taking 2% of the
19 2016 total booked revenue and adjusting for the projected regulatory asset (liability) at the
20 end of 2017. The EWR surcharge value for the customer not opting out are then
21 calculated by dividing the amount to collect by the customer-days and adjusting by the
22 factor calculated in Exhibit A-2 (EAR-2) to account for the low-income-program

1 payments for the customers opting out. A similar calculation is provided showing the
2 surcharge if the customer decided to opt out with a self-directed EWR program.

3 **Q. Have you prepared a tariff sheet with the proposed revised EWR surcharges, which**
4 **would be implemented on January 1, 2018?**

5 A. Yes. The tariff sheet with the proposed revised EWR surcharges, which would be
6 implemented on January 1, 2018, are in Exhibit A-4 (EAR-4).

7 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

8 A. Yes.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R
1																		
2																		
3																		
4																		
5	Comparison of EO Surcharges Collected from Customers and Payments Made to EO Administrator in 2016																	
6	Wisconsin Electric																	
7	Actual EO Surcharges Collected from Customers in 2016																	
8																		
9	Customer Class	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Actual Total Collected in 2016	Total Expected to be Collected in 2016	Difference Between Actual & Expected Recovery in 2016	Allocation of Projected Year End 2017 Reg Asset (Liability)
10	Residential		\$53,126.98	\$50,708.09	\$47,470.05	\$44,116.93	\$38,473.95	\$43,908.78	\$47,200.28	\$49,097.91	\$45,110.18	\$41,655.15	\$42,089.56	\$53,611.88	\$556,569.73	\$576,523.00	(\$19,953.27)	(\$39,834.73)
11																		
12	Cg1	72	\$21,276.60	\$21,109.87	\$22,009.82	\$21,477.29	\$20,764.34	\$22,599.97	\$21,137.51	\$19,156.48	\$21,954.85	\$21,759.04	\$20,837.25	\$22,058.55	\$256,141.57	\$250,332.07	\$5,809.50	(\$18,332.53)
13	Cg2	76	\$582.30	\$529.87	\$535.29	\$588.02	\$511.60	\$592.57	\$551.44	\$508.08	\$551.47	\$616.22	\$514.03	\$546.05	\$6,626.93	\$6,506.00	\$120.93	(\$474.30)
14	Cg3 & Cg3C	74	\$5,725.45	\$4,347.00	\$4,660.10	\$4,903.51	\$5,245.51	\$5,281.87	\$4,772.71	\$5,114.52	\$5,460.74	\$4,758.27	\$5,051.18	\$4,793.58	\$60,114.43	\$66,933.21	(\$6,818.78)	(\$4,302.50)
15	Cg5	40 & 48	\$1,692.34	\$1,043.85	\$1,077.54	\$1,045.61	\$991.55	\$1,113.69	\$1,016.76	\$857.00	\$1,034.05	\$1,066.95	\$984.91	\$1,102.40	\$13,026.66	\$20,979.00	(\$7,952.34)	(\$932.34)
16	TSSM	MSM	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
17	Cp1	P51	\$1,520.32	\$490.58	\$974.20	\$1,136.59	\$844.31	\$1,104.14	\$941.75	\$909.28	\$1,201.54	\$811.84	\$1,006.69	\$113.67	\$11,054.91	\$11,885.50	(\$830.59)	(\$791.22)
18	Cp2, Cp3 & Cp4	P53 & P63	\$523.02	\$593.85	\$625.11	\$656.36	\$625.11	\$645.94	\$645.94	\$614.69	\$666.78	\$864.74	\$635.53	\$666.77	\$7,763.84	\$7,626.31	\$137.53	(\$555.67)
19	Schedule A	M26												\$0.00	\$365.16	(\$365.16)	\$0.00	
20	CpLC / Special Contract	M22	\$3,859.66	\$3,610.64	\$3,859.66	\$3,735.14	\$3,859.66	\$3,735.14	\$3,859.66	\$3,859.66	\$3,735.14	\$3,859.66	\$1,867.57	\$1,929.83	\$41,771.42	\$45,569.00	(\$3,797.58)	\$1,268.85
21	Special Contract	M27	\$1,183.46	\$1,107.11	\$1,183.46	\$1,145.28	\$1,183.46	\$1,145.28	\$1,183.46	\$1,183.46	\$1,145.28	\$1,183.46	\$1,145.28	\$1,183.46	\$13,972.45	\$13,972.00	\$0.45	(\$1,000.03)
22	Ms1	S51	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
23	Retail Access		\$6,062.99	\$3,627.09	\$5,465.62	\$4,526.45	\$4,793.15	\$5,788.99	\$4,984.93	\$4,939.25	\$5,367.18	\$5,642.48	\$5,871.19	\$6,094.06	\$63,163.38	\$59,672.22	\$3,491.16	(\$4,520.72)
24																		
25	Total Non-Res Metered		\$42,426.14	\$36,459.85	\$40,390.80	\$39,214.24	\$38,818.68	\$42,007.59	\$39,094.16	\$37,142.41	\$41,117.03	\$40,562.66	\$37,913.63	\$38,488.38	\$473,635.58	\$483,840.47	(\$10,204.89)	
26																		
27	GH	L51	\$478.87	\$505.75	\$486.71	\$449.18	\$469.97	\$480.96	\$409.50	\$444.15	\$463.29	\$458.25	\$450.22	\$475.07	\$5,571.92	\$5,618.26	(\$46.34)	(\$398.79)
28	Ms2	S52	\$882.94	\$1,008.11	\$889.77	\$889.77	\$889.77	\$889.65	\$889.84	\$196.73	\$989.33	\$892.83	\$870.77	\$1,139.15	\$10,437.66	\$11,483.93	(\$1,046.27)	(\$747.04)
29	Ms3	S53	\$48.12	\$59.72	\$48.82	\$51.61	\$51.74	\$51.28	\$51.28	\$2.98	\$51.53	\$51.28	\$51.28	\$51.28	\$75.18	\$594.82	\$547.87	\$46.95
30	TSSU	MSU	\$43.62	\$13.81	\$56.36	\$46.14	\$42.30	\$44.45	\$48.25	\$18.73	\$62.86	\$41.31	\$40.09	\$46.98	\$504.90	\$642.00	(\$137.10)	(\$36.14)
31																		
32	Total Un-Metered		\$1,453.55	\$1,587.39	\$1,481.66	\$1,436.70	\$1,453.78	\$1,466.34	\$1,398.87	\$662.59	\$1,576.01	\$1,443.67	\$1,412.36	\$1,736.38	\$17,109.30	\$18,292.06	(\$1,182.76)	
33																		
34	Total Michigan Retail		\$97,006.67	\$88,755.33	\$89,342.51	\$84,767.87	\$78,746.41	\$87,382.71	\$87,693.31	\$86,902.91	\$87,803.23	\$83,661.47	\$81,415.55	\$93,836.63	\$1,047,314.61	\$1,078,655.53	(\$31,340.92)	(\$70,699.75)
35	Non-CpLC (UMERC)		\$93,147.01	\$85,144.69	\$85,482.85	\$81,032.73	\$74,886.75	\$83,647.57	\$83,833.65	\$83,043.25	\$84,068.09	\$79,801.81	\$79,547.98	\$91,906.80	\$1,005,543.19	\$1,033,086.53	(\$27,543.34)	(\$71,968.60)
36	CpLC		\$3,859.66	\$3,610.64	\$3,859.66	\$3,735.14	\$3,859.66	\$3,735.14	\$3,859.66	\$3,859.66	\$3,735.14	\$3,859.66	\$1,867.57	\$1,929.83	\$41,771.42	\$45,569.00	(\$3,797.58)	\$1,268.85
37	Payments to Administrator in 2016		\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$82,527.17	\$990,326.04			
38																		
39	Monthly Carrying Cost		(\$129.08)	(\$133.22)	(\$135.02)	(\$141.02)	(\$141.71)	(\$140.65)	(\$154.29)	(\$151.87)	(\$165.69)	(\$180.07)	(\$180.54)	(\$234.69)	(\$1,887.85)			
40																		
41	Month-End Regulatory Asset (Liability)		(\$455,572.30)	(\$470,180.88)	(\$476,542.26)	(\$483,492.62)	(\$485,874.34)	(\$482,235.29)	(\$487,231.48)	(\$492,551.91)	(\$497,079.52)	(\$502,521.27)	(\$503,835.64)	(\$502,904.56)	(\$514,448.71)			
42																		
43																		
44	Note: Monthly carrying cost is based on monthly FDIC 30-day AA Grade commercial paper rate, which is proxy for the Company's short-term borrowing rate.																	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
76															
77															
78															
79															
80															
81	Regulatory Asset (Liability) Split Between CpCL and Other Retail Customers														
82	Wisconsin Electric and UEMRC WEPCo Zone														
83															
84	Month	Total Surcharges Collected From Customers	Surcharges Collected From CpCL	Surcharges Collected From Other Retail Customers	Total Payments to EO Administrator	Payments to EO Administrator for CpCL	Payments to EO Administrator for Other Retail Customers	Total Month-End Regulatory Asset (Liability)	Month-End Regulatory Asset (Liability) for CpCL	Month-End Regulatory Asset (Liability) for Other Retail Customers	Total Monthly Carrying Cost	Monthly Carrying Cost for CpCL	Monthly Carrying Cost for Other Retail Customers	Source	
85	Jan 2015	\$98,073.59	\$5,642.44	\$92,431.15	\$82,459.00	\$5,369.66	\$77,089.34	\$136,914.33	\$98.30	\$136,816.03	\$11.44	\$0.03	\$11.41	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
86	Feb 2015	\$265,094.48	\$183,323.60	\$81,770.88	\$82,459.00	\$5,369.66	\$77,089.34	-\$45,712.02	-\$177,855.62	\$132,143.60	\$9.13	\$0.01	\$9.12	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
87	Mar 2015	\$287,562.73	\$202,965.40	\$84,597.33	\$82,459.00	\$5,369.66	\$77,089.34	-\$250,818.80	-\$375,463.23	\$124,644.43	-\$3.05	-\$11.87	\$8.82	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
88	Apr 2015	\$274,067.56	\$196,418.14	\$77,649.42	\$82,459.00	\$5,369.66	\$77,089.34	-\$442,444.08	-\$666,536.71	\$124,092.64	-\$16.71	-\$25.01	\$8.30	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
89	May 2015	\$274,364.76	\$202,965.40	\$71,399.36	\$82,459.00	\$5,369.66	\$77,089.34	-\$634,379.34	-\$764,170.22	\$129,790.88	-\$29.50	-\$37.77	\$8.27	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
90	Jun 2015	\$271,042.73	\$196,418.14	\$74,624.59	\$82,459.00	\$5,369.66	\$77,089.34	-\$823,005.36	-\$955,269.63	\$132,264.27	-\$42.29	-\$50.94	\$8.65	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
91	Jul 2015	\$282,761.14	\$202,965.40	\$79,795.74	\$987,552.00	\$910,462.66	\$77,089.34	-\$118,276.22	-\$247,844.01	\$129,567.79	-\$61.72	-\$71.64	\$9.92	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
92	Aug 2015	\$283,944.63	\$202,965.40	\$80,979.23	\$211,758.00	\$134,668.66	\$77,089.34	-\$190,470.75	-\$316,157.27	\$125,686.53	-\$7.89	-\$16.53	\$8.64	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
93	Sep 2015	\$271,886.06	\$196,418.14	\$75,467.92	\$211,758.00	\$134,668.66	\$77,089.34	-\$250,611.51	-\$377,927.83	\$127,316.32	-\$12.70	-\$21.08	\$8.38	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
94	Oct 2015	\$278,291.34	\$202,965.40	\$75,325.94	\$211,758.00	\$134,668.66	\$77,089.34	-\$317,167.82	-\$446,259.20	\$129,091.38	-\$22.97	-\$34.64	\$11.67	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
95	Nov 2015	\$271,603.63	\$196,418.14	\$75,185.49	\$211,758.00	\$134,668.66	\$77,089.34	-\$377,042.52	-\$508,049.58	\$131,007.06	-\$29.07	-\$40.90	\$11.83	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
96	Dec 2015	\$290,199.80	\$202,965.40	\$87,234.40	\$211,758.00	\$134,668.66	\$77,089.34	-\$455,572.30	-\$576,464.87	\$120,892.57	-\$87.98	-\$118.55	\$30.57	Case U-17357 Ex. A-2 & Case U-18019 Ex. A-1	
97	Jan 2016	\$97,006.67	\$3,859.66	\$93,147.01	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$470,180.88	-\$575,404.52	\$105,223.64	-\$129.08	-\$163.33	\$34.25	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
98	Feb 2016	\$88,755.33	\$3,610.64	\$85,144.69	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$476,542.26	-\$574,094.85	\$97,552.59	-\$133.22	-\$163.03	\$29.81	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
99	Mar 2016	\$89,342.51	\$3,859.66	\$85,482.85	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$483,492.62	-\$573,033.83	\$89,541.21	-\$135.02	-\$162.66	\$27.64	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
100	Apr 2016	\$84,767.87	\$3,735.14	\$81,032.73	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$485,874.34	-\$571,852.77	\$85,978.43	-\$141.02	-\$167.14	\$26.12	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
101	May 2016	\$78,746.41	\$3,859.66	\$74,886.75	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$482,235.29	-\$570,795.88	\$88,560.59	-\$141.71	-\$166.79	\$25.08	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
102	Jun 2016	\$87,382.71	\$3,735.14	\$83,647.57	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$487,231.48	-\$569,614.16	\$82,382.68	-\$140.65	-\$166.48	\$25.83	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
103	Jul 2016	\$87,693.31	\$3,859.66	\$83,833.65	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$492,551.91	-\$568,570.86	\$76,018.95	-\$154.29	-\$180.38	\$26.09	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
104	Aug 2016	\$86,902.91	\$3,859.66	\$83,043.25	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$497,079.52	-\$567,522.49	\$70,442.97	-\$151.87	-\$175.31	\$23.44	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
105	Sep 2016	\$87,803.23	\$3,735.14	\$84,068.09	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$502,521.27	-\$566,363.46	\$63,842.19	-\$165.69	-\$189.17	\$23.48	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
106	Oct 2016	\$83,661.47	\$3,859.66	\$79,801.81	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$503,835.64	-\$565,342.73	\$51,507.09	-\$180.07	-\$202.95	\$22.88	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
107	Nov 2016	\$81,415.55	\$1,867.57	\$79,547.98	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$502,904.56	-\$562,329.54	\$59,424.98	-\$180.54	-\$202.58	\$22.04	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
108	Dec 2016	\$93,836.63	\$1,929.83	\$91,906.80	\$82,527.17	\$5,083.34	\$77,443.83	-\$514,448.71	-\$559,438.45	\$44,989.74	-\$234.69	-\$262.42	\$27.73	Case U-17777 Ex. A-2 & This Exhibit Page 1	
109															
110	2017 Projection Under Current EO Surcharge														
111	Jan 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$477,564.45	-\$512,832.65	\$35,268.20	-\$240.08	-\$261.07	\$20.99	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
112	Feb 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$440,662.97	-\$466,205.10	\$25,542.13	-\$222.86	-\$239.32	\$16.46	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
113	Mar 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$403,744.27	-\$419,555.79	\$15,811.51	-\$205.64	-\$217.56	\$11.92	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
114	Apr 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$366,808.35	-\$372,884.71	\$6,076.36	-\$188.41	-\$195.79	\$7.38	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
115	May 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$329,855.19	-\$326,191.85	-\$3,663.34	-\$171.18	-\$174.01	\$2.83	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
116	Jun 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$292,884.78	-\$279,477.20	-\$13,407.58	-\$153.93	-\$152.22	-\$1.71	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
117	Jul 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$255,897.12	-\$232,740.75	-\$23,156.37	-\$136.68	-\$130.42	-\$6.26	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
118	Aug 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$218,892.20	-\$185,982.49	-\$32,909.71	-\$119.42	-\$108.61	-\$10.81	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
119	Sep 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$181,870.01	-\$139,202.41	-\$42,667.60	-\$102.15	-\$86.79	-\$15.36	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
120	Oct 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$144,830.54	-\$92,400.50	-\$52,430.05	-\$84.87	-\$64.96	-\$19.91	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
121	Nov 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$107,773.79	-\$45,576.75	-\$62,197.05	-\$67.59	-\$43.12	-\$24.47	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
122	Dec 2017	\$150,366.89	\$64,557.55	\$85,809.34	\$187,491.23	\$111,424.42	\$76,066.81	-\$70,699.75	\$1,268.85	-\$71,968.60	-\$50.29	-\$21.27	-\$29.02	Case U-18019 Ex. A-2 & current sales projection	
123															
124	2018 Projection Under Current EO Surcharge														
125	Jan 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$31,521.45	\$51,739.97	-\$83,261.42	-\$32.99	\$0.59	-\$33.58	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
126	Feb 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$7,675.13	\$102,234.65	-\$94,559.52	-\$14.71	\$24.15	-\$38.86	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
127	Mar 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$46,890.00	\$152,752.89	-\$105,862.89	\$3.58	\$47.71	-\$44.13	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
128	Apr 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$86,123.18	\$203,294.71	-\$117,171.54	\$21.88	\$71.29	-\$54.68	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
129	May 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$125,374.66	\$253,860.11	-\$128,485.46	\$40.19	\$94.87	-\$49.41	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
130	Jun 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$164,644.45	\$304,449.11	-\$139,804.66	\$58.51	\$118.47	-\$59.96	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
131	Jul 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$203,932.58	\$355,061.72	-\$151,129.15	\$76.83	\$142.08	-\$65.25	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
132	Aug 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$243,239.04	\$405,697.95	-\$162,458.92	\$95.17	\$165.70	-\$70.53	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
133	Sep 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$282,563.84	\$456,357.81	-\$173,793.97	\$113.51	\$189.33	-\$75.82	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
134	Oct 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$321,906.99	\$507,041.31	-\$105,134.32	\$131.86	\$212.97	-\$61.11	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
135	Nov 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$361,268.51	\$557,748.46	-\$196,479.96	\$150.22	\$236.62	-\$86.40	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	
136	Dec 2018	\$150,615.69	\$64,557.55	\$86,058.14	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	\$400,648.39	\$608,479.27	-\$207,830.88	\$168.59	\$260.28	-\$91.69	This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
137															
138															
139															
140															
141															
142	Regulatory Asset (Liability) Split Between CpCL and Other Retail Customers														
143	Wisconsin Electric and UMERC WEPCo Zone														
144															
145	Month	Total Surcharges Collected From Customers	Surcharges Collected From CpLC	Surcharges Collected From Other Retail Customers	Total Payments to EO Administrator	Payments to EO Administrator for CpLC	Payments to EO Administrator for Other Retail Customers	Total Month-End Regulatory Asset (Liability)	Month-End Regulatory Asset (Liability) for CpLC	Month-End Regulatory Asset (Liability) for Other Retail Customers	Total Monthly Carrying Cost	Monthly Carrying Cost for CpLC	Monthly Carrying Cost for Other Retail Customers	#	Source
146															
147	2018 Projection Under Proposed EWR Surcharge														
148	Jan 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$67,926.98	\$1,216.61	-\$69,143.59	-\$32.99	\$0.59	-\$33.59		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
149	Feb 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$65,152.92	\$1,164.33	-\$66,317.25	-\$31.70	\$0.57	-\$32.27		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
150	Mar 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$62,377.56	\$1,112.04	-\$63,489.60	-\$30.40	\$0.54	-\$30.95		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
151	Apr 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$59,600.91	\$1,059.72	-\$60,660.63	-\$29.11	\$0.52	-\$29.63		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
152	May 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$56,822.97	\$1,007.37	-\$57,830.34	-\$27.81	\$0.49	-\$28.31		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
153	Jun 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$54,043.72	\$955.00	-\$54,998.73	-\$26.52	\$0.47	-\$26.99		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
154	Jul 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$51,263.18	\$902.61	-\$52,165.79	-\$25.22	\$0.45	-\$25.67		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
155	Aug 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$48,481.35	\$850.19	-\$49,331.54	-\$23.92	\$0.42	-\$24.34		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
156	Sep 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$45,698.21	\$797.75	-\$46,495.96	-\$22.62	\$0.40	-\$23.02		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
157	Oct 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$42,913.78	\$745.28	-\$43,659.06	-\$21.33	\$0.37	-\$21.70		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
158	Nov 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$40,128.04	\$692.79	-\$40,820.83	-\$20.03	\$0.35	-\$20.37		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
159	Dec 2018	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$37,341.01	\$640.27	-\$37,981.28	-\$18.73	\$0.32	-\$19.05		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
160															
161	2019 Projection Under Proposed EWR Surcharge														
162	Jan 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$34,552.68	\$587.73	-\$35,140.40	-\$17.43	\$0.30	-\$17.72		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
163	Feb 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$31,763.04	\$535.16	-\$32,298.20	-\$16.12	\$0.27	-\$16.40		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
164	Mar 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$28,972.10	\$482.57	-\$29,454.68	-\$14.82	\$0.25	-\$15.07		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
165	Apr 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$26,179.86	\$429.96	-\$26,609.82	-\$13.52	\$0.23	-\$13.75		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
166	May 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$23,386.32	\$377.32	-\$23,763.64	-\$12.22	\$0.20	-\$12.42		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
167	Jun 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$20,591.48	\$324.65	-\$20,916.13	-\$10.91	\$0.18	-\$11.09		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
168	Jul 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$17,795.33	\$271.96	-\$18,067.29	-\$9.61	\$0.15	-\$9.76		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
169	Aug 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$14,997.87	\$219.25	-\$15,217.12	-\$8.30	\$0.13	-\$8.43		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
170	Sep 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$12,199.11	\$166.51	-\$12,365.62	-\$7.00	\$0.10	-\$7.10		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
171	Oct 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$9,399.04	\$113.75	-\$9,512.79	-\$5.69	\$0.08	-\$5.77		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
172	Nov 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$6,597.67	\$60.96	-\$6,658.63	-\$4.39	\$0.05	-\$4.44		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection
173	Dec 2019	\$187,021.22	\$115,080.92	\$71,940.30	\$189,826.98	\$115,028.08	\$74,798.90	-\$3,794.99	\$8.15	-\$3,803.14	-\$3.08	\$0.03	-\$3.11		This Case Ex. A-2 and current sales projection

	A	B	C	D	E
1					Case No. U-18267
2					Exhibit A-2 (EAR-2)
3					Witness: Eric A. Rogers
4					Date: July 2017
5					Page 1 of 1
6		Wisconsin Electric Power Company			
7		Calculation of Total Payments to EWR Administrator and Collections from Customers in 2018			
8		And Percentage of the Total Collected from Customers Not Opting Out with Self-Directed Programs			
9			2016 Revenue /		
			2018 Payments		
10	1)	Total Revenue in 2016 From CpLC Class	\$69,016,848		
11	2)	Total Revenue in 2016 From RAS Customers	\$0		
12	3)	Revenue in 2016 from Customers Opting Out in 2016	\$0		
13	4)	Revenue in 2016 from Customers Not Opting Out in 2016	\$69,016,848		
14	5)	Payment to Administrator in 2018	\$1,380,337		
15	6)	50% of Regulatory Asset Plus at End of 2017	\$634		
16	7)	Amount to Collect in 2018 from Customers Not Opting Out	\$1,380,971		
17	8)	Low Income Program Payments in Current Year (10% of Total Payments)	\$138,034		
18	9)	Low Income Program Payments from Customers Opting Out	\$0		
19	10)	Percent of Total Payment Collected from Customers Not Opting Out	100.00%		
20					
21	Sources:	1) MPSC Form P521 Page 304 (M)			
22		2) MPSC Form P521 Page 302 (M)			
23					
24					
25		3) Case U-18337 Ex. A-2 (Cell B45 - Cell C45)			
26		4) Line 1 + Line 2 - Line 3			
27		5) Line 4 * 2%			
28		6) Exhibit A-1 Page 2 (Cell J122) * 50%			
29		7) Line 5 + Line 6			
30		8) Line 7 * 10%			
31		9) Line 8 * Line 3 / Line 1 plus Exhibit A-3 Cells D33 & D37			
32		10) (Line 7 - Line 9) / Line 7			

M.P.S.C. No. 4 – Electric
Wisconsin Electric Power Company

Original Sheet No. D-5.00

ENERGY WASTE REDUCTION SURCHARGE

Customers on the following rate schedules shall receive a Delivery/distribution Energy *Waste Reduction* Surcharge per meter, per day, as indicated below. Company assumes one meter per service point.

<u>RATE SCHEDULE</u>	Customers without a Self-Directed Plan <u>RATE</u>	Customers with a Self-Directed Plan <u>RATE</u>
CpLC*	\$3,783.48	\$378.35

*Includes Mines Special Contracts customers.

Issued December 21, 2016
T. T. Eidukas
Vice-President,
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Effective for service rendered on and
after January 1, 2017

Issued under authority of the
Michigan Public Service Commission
dated December 20, 2016
in Case No. U-18019

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

This form is issued as provided for by 1939 PA 3, as amended, and by 1933 PA 254, as amended. The filing of this form, or an acceptable alternative, is necessary to ensure subsequent service of any hearing notices, Commission orders, and related hearing documents.

General Instructions:

Type or print legibly in ink. For assistance or clarification, please contact the Public Service Commission at (517) 284-8090.

*Please Note: The Commission will provide **electronic** service of documents to all parties in this proceeding.*

THIS APPEARANCE TO BE ENTERED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING:

Case / Company Name: _____ Docket No. _____

Please enter my appearance in the above-entitled matter on behalf of:

1. (Name)
2. (Name)
3. (Name)
4. (Name)
5. (Name)
6. (Name)
7. (Name)

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____

Zip _____ Phone (____) _____

Email _____

Date _____

I am not an attorney

I am an attorney whose:

Michigan Bar # is P- _____

_____ Bar # is: _____
(state)

Signature: _____

Save Form

EAHR1 - 09/29/2016